By this Amendment, applicants have amended claims 1, 11 and 21, and added new claims

33-36. Thus, upon entry of this Amendment, claims 1, 4-21 and 24-36 will be in the present

application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1, 4-21 and 24-32 under 35 U.S.C.

§102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,201,708 to Martin. Applicants respectfully traverse

that rejection.,

Martin discloses a self-locking safety syringe having a pair of manually depressible

"locking tabs 52 and 54, which are designed to be compressed by finger of a user." Column 3,

lines 22-25. The locking tabs permit repeated movement of the needle guard 12 between an

extended position (in which the needle is covered), and a retracted position (in which the needle

is exposed). Martin discloses no limitation on the number of times the shield may be moved

between its two positions. Martin is clearly directed to a self-locking safety syringe having a

shield that may be manipulated by a user to cause the shield to be moved from a position

covering the needle to a position in which the needle is exposed. Martin is further clearly

directed to a reusable device (see, e.g., column 5, lines 39-68 and column 6, lines 1-10, which

describe use of the device during which the user causes the shield to be moved away from the

needle on two occasions (i.e., during filling of the syringe and during injection)). A user of the

device disclosed by Martin can release the shield from its position covering the needle as many

times as desired by merely depressing the locking tabs. While applicants agree that Martin

discloses a device having a shield that locks in place over the needle, Martin also clearly

12

Application No.: 10/072

Amendment Dated January 16, 2004

Reply to Office Action Of October 17, 2003

discloses that a user may selectively release the shield from that locked position to expose the

needle. That is precisely the problem to which applicants' invention is directed: preventing

exposure of the needle after use. Martin neither discloses nor suggests that feature. In fact,

applicants respectfully submit that the disclosure of Martin of a reusable device teaches away

from their invention.

Applicants' invention, in contrast to the disclosure of Martin, is directed to a single-use

device. With applicants' invention, once the shield is caused to move from the first position to

the second position, and then move from the second position to the first position, subsequent

movement of the shield out of the first position is not possible. The free end of the resilient

finger, which is non-depressible by a user, prevents such subsequent movement thereby locking

the shield in place over the needle and eliminating the possibility of accidental needle-stick

injury to a user of the device or to a patient. Such a device is neither disclosed nor suggested by

Martin or any other prior art reference of record in the present application.

Moreover, Martin fails to teach or suggest a safety shield system having a resilient finger

with a free end portion that passes freely over an opening defined through a sidewall of a shield

as the shield is first moved from a first position in which the shield covers a needle, to a second

position in which the needle is exposed, as claimed by applicants. Martin discloses tabs 52, 54

that are positioned in openings 56, 58 when the shield is in position over the needle (i.e., the first

position). Thus, the tabs 52, 54 of Martin cannot pass freely over openings 56, 58 when the

shield is moved from the first position to the second position, as recited by applicants' claims. In

addition to the distinguishing remarks set forth above, applicants further respectfully submit that

13

Application No.: 10/07

Amendment Dated January 16, 2004

Reply to Office Action Of October 17, 2003

Martin fails to teach every limitation recited by the claims of the present application, as is

necessary of a proper 35 U.S.C. §102 reference.

Thus, in view of the distinguishing points set forth above, applicants respectfully submit

that their invention, as recited by the claims of the present application, is patentable over Martin

or any other prior art reference of record in the present application. Applicants further

respectfully submit that the Examiner's rejection of the claims is no longer tenable, and applicant

respectfully requests withdrawal of that rejection.

Finally, applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 4-21 and 24-36 are patentable over

the prior art references of record in the present application, and request allowance of the present

application.

Applicant hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge the fees necessary in connection

with this Amendment and any other fees necessary in connection with this application, to

Deposit Account Number 02-1666.

Any questions concerning this application or amendment may be directed to the

undersigned agent of applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 16, 2004

By:

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 42,548

(201) 847-6940

14